UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,759	02/04/2004	Phillip L. Lam	PERFECT-1/CIP	5694

1054   7590   03/13/2008
LEONARD TACHNER, A PROFESSIONAL LAW
CORPORATION
17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 38-E
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER
MICHALSKI, SEAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3724	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	**Applicant(s)**
Interview Summary	10/772,759	LAM, PHILLIP L.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	SEAN M. MICHALSKI	3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *SEAN M. MICHALSKI*.   (3)*Jun Y Lee (#40,262)*.

(2) *Kenneth Peterson*.   (4)*Thomas C. Sova (#59,331)*.

Date of Interview: *04 March 2008*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
        c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
    If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *19*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Carr (US 6,560,876)*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☒ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Ex. Michalski indicated that the proposed claim 19 defines over Carr. Further search and consideration of newly amended claim 19 is necessary. Elimination of term "foreshortened" was discussed. Label for element termed "second cutting edge" in the figures was discussed. Discussed adding definition of Bulbous to the specification to eliminate potential ambiguity.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth E Peterson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.   Examiner's signature, if required